



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,083	12/06/2001	John O. McWeeney	BSC-211	8839
21323 7	7590 12/31/2003		EXAM	INER
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			WILLSE, DAVID H	
HIGH STREET TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
125 HIGH STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			3738	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/006,083	Applicant(s) MCWEENEY, JOHN O.	Applicant(s) MCWEENEY, JOHN O.	
Advisory Action	Examiner Dave Willse	Art Unit 3738		
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	••	
LY FILED December 22, 2003, FAILS, further action by the applicant is required to under 37 CFR 1.113 may only the second state of the control of the second state of t	red to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendmen	application. A proper reply to nt which places the application	a n in	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
THE REPLY FILED December 22, 2003, FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee unde 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the final Office action; or (3) as set forth in the fi

	ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.🖂	Other: S e Continuation Sheet
	Dave Willse
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738

10/006,083

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The altered or added limitations of the independent claims require further consideration of the prior art.

Continuation of 10. Other: The Applicant argues that the finality of the Office action mailed on October 14, 2003, should be withdrawn. The Applicant contends that the dependent claims were not amended in the Applicant's Response of August 25, 2003. The examiner disagrees: if an independent claim is amended, then every claim depending therefrom is inherently amended because the revised limitations in the independent claim are imposed on all of said dependent claims.